

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,418	09/30/2003	Chan-Tung Chen	3624-0130P	2698
2292 75	590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEV	VART KOLASCH &	HUNTER, ALVIN A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<b>,</b>		3711	<del> </del>

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/673,418	CHEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin A. Hunter	3711				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Renly	ation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) In period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the period for the provision of the provisio	CATION.  137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nication.  days, a reply within the statutory mining the tory period will apply and will expire Sill, by statute, cause the application to the statute.	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered tin IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1)  🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 30 September 2003					
2a)□	,	b)⊠ This action is non-final	l <b>.</b>				
- ,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from considera					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object		•				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	<b>'</b>	• • •	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c) None of:  2. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been recei ocuments have been recei f the priority documents hav al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	nal Stage			
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 1	Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:	°TO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai et al. (JP 07-216490 A).

Regarding claim 1, Nakai et al. discloses a tungsten alloy for a golf club having at least 40 to less than 100 wt% of tungsten, and one or two metals (nickel and molybdenum) of 60 or les wt% (See Abstract). Though Nakai et al. does not discloses the specific amounts of nickel and molybdenum separately, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have nickel and molybdenum in any amount to obtain the specific gravity desired by the designer.

Regarding claim 2, the claim refers to a product by process; therefore, it is submitted that Nakai et al. meet the limitation of the claim so long as the final product has been achieved.

Regarding claim 3, Nakai et al. discloses the process for making the alloy using powder metallurgy (See Means for Solving the Problem).

Regarding claim 4, Nakai et al. discloses the alloy having a specific gravity of 11.3 to 19.2 (See Paragraph 0019).

Application/Control Number: 10/673,418

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 6, Nakai et al. discloses having at least one component for improving a mechanical property of the alloy.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai et al. (JP ) in view of Kapoor (USPN 5760317).

Regarding claim 5, Naaki et al. does not disclose having silicon. Kappor discloses a tungsten alloy having silicon in 0 to 2 weight % (See Background of the Invention and Summary of the Invention). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate silicon, as taught by Kapoor, into Nakai et al. in order to improve the processability of the tungsten alloy.

Regarding claim 7, Nakai et al. discloses copper being added in less than 3 weight % but does not disclose having manganese, niobium, and vanadium. Kapoor discloses a tungsten allow having manganese, niobium, and vanadium in amounts of 1 to 10 weight % (Summary of the Invention). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate manganese, niobium, and vanadium, as taught by Kapoor, into Nakai in order to improve the deformability of the tungsten alloy.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/673,418 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

GREGORY VANOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700